

Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited is the corporate trustee of one of the largest private sector pension funds in the UK with assets exceeding £12 billion. It was established in 1974 to administer the principal pension scheme for academic and senior administrative staff in UK universities and other higher education and research institutions.

The head office is at Royal Liver Building, Liverpool and the investment office is at Angel Court, London.

Management statement Summary of year Trustee company Officers and advisers Membership of commi Committee reports Management committe Investment sub-commi Joint negotiating comm Advisory committee Membership statistics University institutions Non-university institut Summary of movemen USS accounts Fund account Statement of net assets Notes to the accounts Statement of trustee's responsibilities Report of the auditors Statement by the actua Five year summary USS Ltd accounts Report of the directors Statement of directors' responsibilities Statement of operating Balance sheet Cash flow statement Notes to the accounts Report of the auditors

	2
	3
	4
	4
ittees	5
	6
ee	6
ittee	14
nittee	28
	29
	30
	30
tions	35
nts	40
	41
	41
	42
	43
	50
5	51
ary	52
)	55
	56
S	56
-	•
	57
g costs	58
,	59
	60
	61
5	67

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

The year to 31 March 1996 was a period of continued growth for the fund. The scheme membership increased from 70,200 to 74,700 and there was a comparable growth in the numbers of pensioners and those entitled to deferred benefits to 24,200 and 26,200 respectively. Substantial investment returns contributed to an increase in the size of the fund during the year of over  $f_{,2.2}$  billion and at 31 March 1996 the fund had total assets of over  $f_{12}$  billion. It was also a year of considerable change in the Liverpool office. The trustee company relocated to the Royal Liver Building in December 1995. One of the principal reasons for this move was the need to acquire premises suitable for the introduction of the state-of-the-art technology which now supports the company's administration. The use of paper files has been replaced by a document imaging system enabling membership records to be retrieved instantaneously when needed. This has produced a number of efficiencies and builds upon the company's quality policy following its British Standards Institution ISO9002 registration for both pensions and investment administration. The Pensions Bill introduced by the government in November 1994 became the Pensions Act on receiving the royal assent on 19 July 1995. The predominant theme of the act and the regulations made under it is to require pension schemes to operate what many, including USS, already regard as best practice.

Graeme | Davies Chairman

David B Chynoweth Chief Executive

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME SUMMARY OF YEAR



# PERFORMANCE



#### **MEMBERSHIP**



The fund has almost doubled in size in the last five years, having grown from  $f_{.6.2}$  billion in 1992 to over  $f_{.12}$ billion as at 31 March 1996. More details are given in the investment subcommittee report on page 14 and in the five year summary of the fund accounts on page 55.

USS WM Top 5() 🗆 RPI

The fund's investment return of 18. 4% in 1995 was slightly below that of the average of the 50 funds of over  $f_1$  billion in the WM survey but well ahead of the retail price index. Over both 5 years and 10 years the fund has outperformed the WM Top 50 average and comfortably exceeded the RPI. More details are given in the report of the investment sub-committee on page 14.

Pensioners

Deferred Pensioners

□ Active Members The membership of the scheme has continued to grow steadily. As at 31 March 1996 the total membership was 125.100, an increase of 7.6% from last year and 26% from five years ago. More details are given in the five year summary of the fund accounts on page 55.

# **PRINCIPAL OFFICERS AND ADVISERS**

#### The principal officers and advisers of the trustee company at 1 August 1996 are:-

	D. D. Channel, DA IDEA ECCA FINA
Chief Executive	D B Chynoweth BA IPFA FCCA FIMgt
Chief Investment Officer	P G Moon
Chief Pensions Manager	R E Heywood BA
Chief Accountant	C S Hunter BSc CA
Company Secretary	J P Williams BA ACIS MIPD MIMgt
Surveyor	R G Walden BSc FRICS
Actuary	M B Reid BSc FIA FAPSA
	of William M Mercer Limited
	30 Exchange Street East, Liverpool L2 3QB
Solicitors	Alsop Wilkinson
	India Buildings, Liverpool L2 0NH
Auditors	Coopers & Lybrand
	Richmond House, Rumford Place Liverpool L3 9QS
Bankers:	Barclays Bank Plc
	4 Water Street, Liverpool L69 2DU
Property Consultants	Jones Lang Wootton
	22 Hanover Square, London W1A 2BN

#### The principal other organisations acting for and retained by the trustee company are as follows:

Solicitors	Clifford Chance, Dundas & Wilson, Mitchells Roberton
	Fried Frank Harris Shriver & Jacobson, Lawrence Graham
Investment managers	Baillie Gifford & Co, PDFM Limited,
	Schroder Investment Management Limited
Custodians	Bankers Trust Company, Chase Manhattan Bank NA
Investment performance	
management	Investment Property Databank Ltd, The WM Company
Property valuers	Colliers Erdman Lewis
Computer software	Claybrook Computing Ltd, Image Systems Europe Ltd
Computer hardware	Hewlett-Packard Limited
Data recovery	Computer Disaster Recovery Limited
Insurers	Royal Insurance (UK) Ltd

The trustee of Universities Superannuation Scheme is the trustee company, Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited, which is appointed under USS Rule 20.1. The statutory power of appointing new trustees applies provided that a new trustee may not be appointed or removed without the approval of the joint negotiating committee. The trustee company is also the administrator of the scheme for the purposes of the Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1988. The registered office of the trustee company to which enquiries about the scheme generally or about an individual's entitlement should be sent is:

Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited, Royal Liver Building, Liverpool L3 1PY

## The membership at 31 March 1996 of the principal committees was as follows:

#### Management Committee

Appointed by the Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals Sir John Sparrow (Chairman), K F Dibben, Dr K J R Edwards, Professor Martin Harris Appointed by the Association of University Teachers Dr G R Talbot, J W D Trythall Appointed by the Higher Education Funding Councils Professor Brian Fender Co-opted Dr Christine Challis, L Collinson, C D Donald, Lord Mark Fitzalan Howard,

E B O Sherlock (Deputy Chairman)

#### Finance & General Purposes Committee

Appointed by the management committee E B O Sherlock (Chairman), L Collinson, C D Donald, Professor Martin Harris, Sir John Sparrow, J W D Trythall

#### Investment Sub-Committee

Appointed by the management committee Lord Mark Fitzaland Howard (Chairman), C D Donald, C E Hughes, P V S Manduca, Dr D Nicholls, Sir John Sparrow

#### Audit Committee

Appointed by the management committee K F Dibben (Chairman), Dr Christine Challis, E B O Sherlock, Sir John Sparrow, Dr G R Talbot

#### Salaries Sub-Committee

Appointed by the management committee L Collinson (Chairman), E B O Sherlock, Sir John Sparrow, J W D Trythall

#### Advisory Committee

Appointed by the Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals D Anderson-Evans (Chairman), A D Linfoot, D W Sims, Appointed by the Association of University Teachers M W Hughes, J C Duffy, Dr D J Wright

# Joint Negotiating Committee

Independent Chairman Sir Kenneth Berrill Appointed by the Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals D Anderson-Evans, Dr S G Fleet, A D Linfoot, I G Thompson, A D Weir Appointed by the Association of University Teachers Dr J M Goldstrom, Dr G R Talbot, J W D Trythall, Dr E Wade, A Waton

#### **MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

The management committee submits its twenty-first annual report on the progress of USS. Separate reports on the activities of the investment sub-committee, the joint negotiating committee and the advisory committee are printed following this report.

### **Committee Members**

Professor Brian Fender succeeded Professor Sir Graeme Davies on 1 October 1995 as the director appointed by the Higher Education Funding Councils. Sir John Sparrow retired from the board on 31 March 1996 after eight years as chairman. During that time he played a significant role in leading USS Ltd through a period of major change and rapid growth in both the membership and in the assets of the fund. His wise counsel was of immense value and we wish him a long and happy retirement. His successor from 1 April 1996 is Professor Sir Graeme Davies.

#### Institutions



At 31 March 1996 there were 271 institutions which had become member institutions by completing a deed of accession. They comprised all the 'old' UK universities (ie those established prior to 1992), including the constituent schools and colleges of the universities of London and Wales, all the colleges of the universities of Oxford and Cambridge and 124 other institutions.

Changes in institutions participating occurred as follows:

#### New participating institutions

Gyosei International College in the United Kingdom Gray Laboratory Cancer Research Company Council for Advancement and Support of Education (CASE) Associated Examining Board Nuffield Provincial Hospitals Trust Regulatory Policy Institute Higher Education Careers Services Unit Royal Academy of Music Huddersfield University • De Montfort University

- Plymouth University
- denotes institutions admitted only for employees who had been members of USS whilst in a previous employment.

# Institutions which ceased to participate

Science Policy Support Group

The following constituent institutions of the British Postgraduate Medical Federation were admitted as separate participating institutions: Institute of Cancer Research

- Institute of Neurology

#### Other changes:

London Hospital Medical College and St Bartholomew's Medical College merged with Queen Mary & Westfield College National Heart & Lung Institute (formerly part of British Postgraduate Medical Federation) merged with Imperial College, London Marie Curie Memorial Foundation became Marie Curie Cancer Care Manchester College Oxford became Harris Manchester College Oxford

#### Scheme membership

During the year 11,877 new members joined the scheme and at 31 March 1996 the total membership, including pensioners and those entitled to deferred benefits, was 125,093 compared with 116,276 a year earlier. Further details of the changes in membership during the year are contained in the section "Membership Statistics" on page 30 and over the five years ended 31 March 1996 in the Summary on page 55.



The proportion of eligible new employees choosing not to join USS reduced to 22%. While there may be valid reasons for the decisions of some employees not to join USS, the figure is of concern to the committee. Similarly, the extent of the variation between individual institutions is very considerable but this may in part reflect the composition of their staff. The committee has attempted to give widespread publicity to the serious disadvantages that may be experienced if employees make the wrong choice. Of particular concern is the position of the dependants of an employee who has chosen not to join thereby foregoing the important death benefits available to dependants under USS. The alternative of SERPS provides little and most personal pensions provide only a modest benefit. The committee recognises that some employees may wish to change their original decision not to join USS, possibly because their circumstances have changed. Where an eligible employee has chosen not to join, possibly because of having no dependants or of being employed on a short-term contract, an option to join at a later date is available. During the year, the management committee agreed to the suspension of the previous requirement that all eligible employees wishing to join or rejoin USS after a period of membership of SERPS or a personal pension must submit a satisfactory medical declaration. It also agreed that the payment of all additional contributions following membership of alternative pension schemes should be suspended subject to review at each actuarial valuation and that eligible employees could be permitted to rejoin USS provided that their employer could confirm that they had a good health record. Recent membership statistics continue to show that a significant number of members are now taking advantage of this option to join, or rejoin, USS after having opted out for a period.

Institute of Opthalmology (subsequently merged with University College, London)

#### Rule amendments

The current USS rules are represented by the Supplemental Declaration of Trust which was executed on 7 February 1994 and five Deeds of Amendment. No amendments were made to the rules during the year.

### Pension increases

Rule 15 of USS provides that pensions in payment, deferred pensions and deferred lump sums payable from the main section shall be increased in a similar manner to the increases provided for official pensions under the Pensions (Increase) Act 1971. As reported last year, USS pensions were increased by 2.2% on 21 April 1995.

On 21 April 1996 pensions which satisfied certain qualifying conditions and began before 22 April 1995 were increased by 3.9% with smaller increases applying for pensions which began after that date. Deferred pensions and deferred lump sums were increased by the same rates.

That part of the pension payable from the main section of USS which represents the Guaranteed Minimum Pension is generally not increased in accordance with the above as increases are paid by the Department of Social Security. This is explained in detail in the USS booklet Pension Increases - Information for USS Pensioners which has been issued to all USS pensioners.

Rule 15 also provides that pensions payable from the supplementary section shall be increased to the extent that the trustee company, acting on actuarial advice, decides. As a result, pensions arising from the supplementary section were increased at the same rates as those that applied to the main section.

#### Contribution rates

The rates of contributions payable by members and institutions between 1 April 1995 and 31 March 1996 were as follows:

USS Main Section	Member	6% of salary
	Institution	18.55% of salary
USS Supplementary Section	Member	0.35% of salary
	Institution	Nil

#### Actuarial matters

A statement by the actuary is shown on page 52. It reports that the last full actuarial valuation was carried out as at 31 March 1993, that actuarial reviews were completed as at 31 March 1994 and 31 March 1995, and that a further full actuarial valuation is being carried out as at 31 March 1996.

The results of the 1996 valuation will be available later this year. Meanwhile, the actuary has recommended that no change be made in the institutions' contribution rate.

#### Accounting matters

The accounts for Universities Superannuation Scheme and for Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited (the trustee company) are set out later in this booklet.

The operating costs for the year amounted to  $\pounds 11.8$  million (1995 :  $\pounds 9.8$  million), this amount being recoverable from USS. Administration costs amounted to  $f_{2,5,8}$  million (1994  $f_{2,4,1}$  million). Investment costs amounted to  $\pounds 6$  million (1995 :  $\pounds 5.7$  million). The increase in costs compared to the previous year is primarily due to three main factors: the introduction of an early retirement scheme; the relocation of the Liverpool office and the refurbishment of the new premises; and the continuation of the information technology strategy which commenced in 1994. In total these factors resulted in an increase of approximately  $\int_{1}^{1.4}$  million compared to the previous year. Excluding these, the increase was 6% which was spread across a number of areas including increased premises costs in both Liverpool and London and a reduction in the costs met by third parties. Further details are given in the Directors' Report and Accounts on page 56.

# Trustees' responsibilities

The Pensions Bill introduced by the government in November 1994 became the Pensions Act on receiving the royal assent on 19 July 1995. The detailed provisions stemming from the act will be contained in about 35 sets of regulations which are being produced by the Department of Social Security and will be laid before Parliament before April 1997 when the majority of the provisions will come into force.



The predominant theme of the act and the regulations made under it is to require pension schemes to operate what many, including USS, already regard as best practice. One of the aspects of primary concern to USS, because of the university-wide nature of the scheme in meeting the particular circumstances of university academic employment, is that of pension fund trusteeship. The Pensions Act 1995 contains certain requirements for the appointment of member-nominated trustees (or in the case of a corporate trustee) of member-nominated directors. USS has been concerned to ensure that in the detailed regulations relating to the operation of these provisions, there was acknowledgement of the fact that USS Ltd has on its board directors appointed by CVCP, the AUT, the HEFC, and up to five co-opted directors, with the aim of ensuring a balanced and independent trustee board with appropriate member representation. The board of USS Ltd was also mindful that this system of board representation had the approval of institutions when USS was first established in 1975 and is considered to have worked well ever since. The government accordingly accepted that an exception should be made for schemes which could meet certain stringent criteria and the regulations which have now been made as regards member-nominated directors have been framed in such a way that USS Ltd, as its board of directors is currently constituted, will be exempt from the requirement to have one-third of its directors directly nominated by the membership of USS provided that the composition of the board continues to satisfy certain detailed independence conditions.

#### Investment policy

During the year two changes to the arrangements for investment management of securities were made.

After a review, the appointment of Legal & General as managers of the fund designed to track the FT-SE-A All Share Index of UK equities was terminated on 30 June 1995. This fund is now administered in-house with the internal managers acting solely on the advice of HSBC James Capel Quantitative Techniques.

In addition after a review early in 1996 it was decided to terminate the contract of Cursitor Management Limited and this portfolio was integrated with the internally managed fund.

The arrangements for management of the assets and custody together with the approximate proportion managed or held by each as at 31 March 1996, were as follows:

- (a) 23% is administered internally on the advice of HSBC James Capel Quantitative Techniques on a basis to track the FT-SE-A All Share Index of UK equities (with Chase Manhattan Bank as custodian);
- (b) 49% is actively managed in-house by USS Ltd, London Investment Office (with Chase Manhattan Bank as custodian). 7% of this is property assets.
- (c) 8% is managed by Baillie Gifford (with Bankers Trust Company as custodian); 8% is managed by PDFM (with Bankers Trust Company as custodian); 9% is managed by Schroder Investment Management (with Bankers Trust Company as custodian);
- (d) 3% of the fund is represented by insurance policies and a small managed fund of UK equities.

The managers in (b) and (c) above each manage their share of USS securities on the basis of a balanced brief taking into account the fact that approximately 23% of the USS fund is invested in line with an index of UK equities.

The year to 31 December 1995 was a good year for pension fund performance, although the fund underperformed its target return. Over three years the fund outperformed the average fund yet underperformed the very demanding targets set.

Further details of the investment targets, investment performance and the amounts being managed by each manager are given in the report of the investment sub-committee.

The proper corporate governance of companies in which the fund invests is of importance to USS Ltd. The trustee has adopted guidelines based on the Cadbury Committee's and the Greenbury Committee's recommendations. Votes are cast on resolutions at the AGMs of all UK companies and where appropriate at the AGMs of all overseas companies in which the fund has investments on the basis of the guidelines.

The trustee's duty is to ensure that the assets are invested to secure the benefits under the scheme. The managers are therefore instructed to give primary consideration to the financial prospects of any asset they hold or consider holding. The trustee cannot instruct the managers to invest on ethical or environmental considerations alone and has not done so. However, the trustee expects that the boards of companies in which it invests should pay due regard to environmental matters and thereby further the long term financial interests of their shareholders. Ethical and environmental issues arise not only in board policy decisions but in daily operations. The trustee cannot become involved in these decisions and therefore looks to the directors of a company to manage that company's affairs taking proper account of the shareholders' long term interests.

#### Administration

The introduction of an early retirement scheme within the trustee company facilitated a restructuring of the staff in the Liverpool office in parallel with the introduction of new technology and of a number of system improvements. This resulted in a reduction in the number of staff, particularly at senior level, provided greater opportunities for career progression for younger staff and gave management greater flexibility in working towards the most efficient staffing structures required to respond to the company's future needs.

The Liverpool office successfully relocated on 4 December 1995 to the Royal Liver Building. It had become apparent that the previous offices at Richmond House would be unsuitable for the computer systems planned and the new offices have provided a significantly enhanced working environment. As well as raising the profile of USS Ltd within Liverpool the move had a very positive effect on staff morale.



Considerable progress was made during the year on the implementation of an information technology strategy which commenced in 1994. The pensions administration system was integrated with an electronic document management system in time for the office relocation in December 1995 and all files for active members and leavers were scanned and indexed. In total, 5.3 million images have been stored on optical disc and all pensions staff now work with electronic images on computer screens instead of with paper files. Further increases in efficiency can be expected to follow the introduction of enhanced case management software and the development of the reporting functionality will provide the facility for accurately monitoring individual and departmental performance.

The service provided to members and institutions was monitored each quarter and in the areas of highest priority, which include the prompt payment of benefits, the prescribed standards were consistently achieved. Transfers from and to other pension schemes continued to be slow to bring to completion mainly because of the number of steps to be taken by the transferring and receiving schemes to enable the member to obtain all the information needed to decide whether or not to authorise the transfer. Reports showing achievements compared with targets

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME COMMITTEE REPORTS

are reviewed regularly and are discussed at meetings of the finance officers' group which is a liaison committee with representative finance officers from the institutions serving on it.

Because of the work demands arising from the high level of pensions activity generated in particular by the Pensions Act and by the office relocation and associated restructuring of staff, it was not possible to hold as many seminars as in recent years. It does, however, remain our intention to continue the programme of activities designed to foster good communication between USS Ltd and the members of the staff at institutions who are involved with the administration of the scheme. One meeting of the finance officers' group was held and the annual meeting with institutions' representatives took place in London in November 1995 with a full report of the proceedings being circulated subsequently to all institutions.

Since the introduction in 1988 of voluntary membership of occupational pension schemes about one-quarter of new employees eligible to join USS have elected not to do so, which means that they will either have a personal pension or be participating in SERPS. This suggests, as mentioned earlier in the section relating to scheme membership, that a significant number of university employees continue to take decisions about their pension arrangements which might not be in their best interests.



#### Disclosure requirements

The general rights which members and beneficiaries have always had to request information under trust law were supplemented in great detail in the Social Security Act 1985 and the regulations made under it. Where the requirement is for a document to be available for reference by an interested person, it is met by the provision to each institution from our Liverpool office of a Disclosure Kit containing the required documents. Other information, for example A guide for members, must be provided to every new member and supplies are available from Liverpool to enable institutions to issue them as part of their appointment procedures. Individual statements are required on the happening of certain events such as leaving service, retirement or death and these are provided by our Liverpool office as part of the processing of such benefits.

The disclosure regulations require that a number of statements are made in a document which accompanies the audited accounts and actuarial statement and, insofar as they do not appear elsewhere in the Report and Accounts, they are given below.

A copy of the statement on pension trust principles issued by the Occupational Pensions Board has been issued to each member of the management committee; a copy is held at the trustee company's registered office and is available for inspection by those persons.

Enquiries about the scheme generally or about an individual's entitlement should be sent to the trustee company's registered office.

Transfer values paid during the year were determined in accordance with the Pension Schemes Act 1993 and appropriate regulations. No transfer values paid represented less than their full cash equivalent.

USS has no employer-related investments other than the contributions due from institutions disclosed in note 15 of the USS accounts. The scheme's assets are invested in accordance with the Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment of Scheme's Resources) Regulations 1992.

### Acknowledgements

The management committee wishes to record its appreciation of the service given by all those who are concerned in the administration and management of USS, including the staff of the trustee company in Liverpool and London and the officers of the institutions which participate in the scheme. It wishes also to thank the various consultants and advisers who, by their specialist knowledge and experience, make a valuable contribution to the work of the trustee company.

Signed on behalf of the management committee

Graeme J Davies Chairman

UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### **INVESTMENT SUB-COMMITTEE**

The investment sub-committee advises the trustee company on all matters relating to the investment of the fund's assets.

#### HIGHLIGHTS OF 1995/96

- Investment returns were substantial in the year to 31 December 1995. Investment returns for the total fund were 18.4%, far in excess of wage and price inflation of 3.8% and 3.2% respectively.
- The 10 year return for the fund was 13.2% per annum, just ahead of the average fund and, again, well ahead of growth in average earnings of 6.5% and retail prices of 4.6% over the same period.
- Including cash flow and capital appreciation, the assets of the fund reached  $\neq$  12.0 billion on 31 March 1996 from  $\pounds 9.7$  billion a year earlier.
- HSBC James Capel Quantitative Techniques were appointed to advise on the composition of the FTSE-A-All-Share Index portion of the fund which is now administered in-house with effect from 1 July 1995.
- Cursitor Management's contract was terminated on 31 March 1996. This fund of  $f_1$ 186 million is now managed by the in-house investment team.
- Chase Manhattan Bank was appointed as custodian for the internally managed portion of the fund from 1 July 1995 to replace National Westminster Bank and Royal Bank of Scotland.
- Barclays Bank was appointed as the fund's banker from 7 March 1996 replacing National Westminster Bank.

#### INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

The fund's investments are divided between those under the direct control of USS Ltd and those managed externally. The internal investment team at the London Investment Office manages the majority of the assets.

The external managers at the commencement of the year to March 1996 were Legal & General Investment Management Limited, who were responsible for the Financial Times Stock Exchange Actuaries All Share Index (FT-SE-A All-Share Index) matching fund, PDFM Limited, Schroder Investment Management Limited and Baillie Gifford & Co, all of whom have a balanced fund remit and Cursitor Management Limited who ran a specialist high performance fund.

During the year these managers were remunerated on the following bases - Legal & General, Schroder Investment Management and Baillie Gifford & Co through a fixed fee, PDFM through a performance-related fee and Cursitor Management on an ad valorem basis.

# COMMITTEE REPORTS

Legal & General ceased to run the index fund from 30 June 1995 and the index fund is now administered in-house acting on advice provided by HSBC James Capel Quantitative Techniques. Cursitor Management's contract was also terminated on 31 March 1996.

Jones Lang Wootton administers the properties within the portfolio and advise on its composition. For these services they charge both management and transaction-related fees.

An analysis of the total investments of the fund at 31 March 1996, along with the comparative figures for the preceding year, is set out in the following table. The investments are stated at market value and details of the changes in value are summarised in Note 8 to the USS accounts on page 46.

#### TOTAL INVESTMENTS OF THE FUND

Type of Investment	Fixed Interest £m	Index Linked £m	Equities £m	Properties £m	Cash and Equivalent £m	Tota 31 March 1996 £m	l 31 March 1996 %	Total 31 March 1995 ∠m	31 March 1995 %
Investments under the	~			10		~			
direct control of USS Ltd Quoted securities									
UK	217.5	142.5	2,401.0			2,761.0	23.1	2,136.3	22.0
Overseas	362.1	-	1,857.8		-	2,219.9	18.5	1,687.0	17.4
Unquoted securities	502.1		1,057.0			2,217.7	10.5	1,007.0	17.4
UK		_	5.3			5.3	0.0	11.3	0.1
Overseas			0.1		40	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1
Property			0.1			0.1	0.0	0.5	
UK	12	2	120	782.6	<u> </u>	782.6	6.5	840.0	8.6
Cash/stockbroker balances				702.0		702.0	0.5	010.0	0.0
UK	2	2	-	2	45.1	45.1	0.4	57.0	0.6
Overseas			-		125.3	125.3	1.0	251.7	2.6
Sub-total	579.6	142.5	4,264.2	782.6	170.4	5,939.3	49.5	4,983.6	51.3
Investments managed	577.0	172.3	1,207.2	702.0	170.4	5,757.5	т7.5	1,205.0	51.5
internally on the basis of									
external advice									
Index fund									
UK		-	2,761.8		(21.5)	2,740.3	22.9		-
Overseas	-	-	0.4			0.4	0.0		-
Sub-total	2		2,762.2		(21.5)	2,740.7	22.9		
Investments managed									
externally									
Legal & General									
UK	-		-	.÷	-	( <del>-</del>		2,058.0	21.1
Overseas		尼	-			377	~	6.2	-
Baillie Gifford									
UK	79.7	Ħ	607.7	. <del>.</del> .	41.6	729.0	6.1	582.4	6.0
Overseas	30.2	-	160.9	-	45.7	236.8	2.0	164.1	1.7
PDFM									
UK	156.0	127.8	202.2	( <del>14</del>	195.8	681.8	5.7	488.9	5.0
Overseas	69.1	Ξ.	213.2	-	(9.8)	272.5	2.3	293.4	3.0
Schroder									
UK	68.7	<u> </u>	452.3	14	70.3	591.3	4.9	478.2	4.9
Overseas	79.3	<u> </u>	348.9	2	(0.6)	427.6	3.6	306.6	3.2
Managed fund	2	-	69.4			78.4	0.7	95.3	1.0
Life assurance policies									
UK	64.4	2.8	124.0	22.1	13.5	226.8	1.9	219.0	2.3
Overseas	÷.	ŝ	49.9		-	49.9	0.4	45.9	0.5
Sub-total	547.4	130.6	2,228.5		365.5	3,294.1	27.6	4,738.0	48.7
Total investments									
UK	586.3	273.1	6,623.7	804.7	353.8	8.641.6	72.2	6,966.4	71.7
Overseas	540.7	2	2,631.2		160.6	3,332.5	27.8	2,755.2	28.3
Total	1.127.0	273.1	9,254.9			11,974.1	100.0	9,721.6	100.0
Percentage at									
31 March 1996									
UK	4.9	2.3	55.3	6.7	.3.0		72.2		
Overseas	4.5	*	22.0	-	1.3		27.8		
Total percentage	9.4	2.3	77.3	6.7	4.3		100.0		
Total percentage at									
31 March 1995	11.5	2.4	72.2	8.9	5.0				100.0

### WM PENSION FUND SURVEY FOR 1995

The fund participates in the above survey of pension fund performance. In 1995 the survey covered 1.455 funds with a combined value of  $\pounds$ 375 billion representing over 80% of total UK pension funds by value.

# Average pension fund results for 1995

Compared with the negative performance of 1994, pension funds did very well in 1995.

Investment returns in 1995 reported in the survey showed that the average pension fund performed well with most asset classes showing strong returns.

Property performed poorly as did Japan among the equity markets.

#### Investment returns 1995



#### **USS RESULTS**

The previous section showed the average pension fund results. This section analyses the performance of USS itself.

The fund adopted the following dual performance target from 1 January 1994:-

To exceed the return on the FT-SE-A All-Share Index by 1% per annum and the 40th percentile of the WM ex-property universe of all funds over a rolling five-year period.

The first element of this target is extremely demanding with less than 5% of pension funds having achieved this over the past five years. It has been chosen to encourage the managers to adopt an equity based portfolio which is most likely to enable the fund to meet its liabilities.

The dual target is likely to result in the performance of the fund differing significantly year by year from the average fund in the WM survey. However, it should result in superior returns over the longer (5-10 years) period on which an immature fund like USS should be concentrating.

#### Longer term results

Over the 10 years to 31 December 1995, the total fund returned 13.2% per annum against an average of 13.1%. This compares with average earnings increases of 6.5% per annum and retail price index growth of 4.6% per annum. Over this period the fund comfortably met its actuarial target.

Against the 50 funds of over  $\pounds 1$  billion which represent 58% of assets in the WM survey, USS performed better than the average fund, on the 32nd percentile.

These fund returns include the cost of transferring funds to the external managers in 1992 calculated at 0.6%.

#### Performance over the three years to 31 December 1995

The present method of investment management has been in place since late 1992 with performance being measured from the beginning of 1993. Taking the three years 1993 to 1995 together, the following annualised returns were achieved:-

	%	Percentile Rank
Schroder Investment Management	14.8	24
London Investment Office	14.5	31
Baillie Gifford	13.4	64
PDFM	13.3	68

Over this period, the 40th percentile is calculated to be 14.2% and Schroders and the London Investment Office achieved this. None of the managers beat the FT-SE-A All-Share index +1%, namely 15.4%. Over the three year period the total fund including property returned 14.2% against the average fund performance of 13.7%.

#### Performance in 1995

In 1995, the total fund underperformed its dual targets. By returning 18.4%, the fund was at the 79th percentile and 5.5% behind the FT-SE-A All-Share Index. The fund's overweight position in cash, property and the Pacific markets and its underweight position in North American equities contributed to this result.

The returns achieved for USS by the external balanced managers and the London Investment Office, after apportioning the UK indexed fund, are shown below:-

	%	Percentile Rank
Baillie Gifford	21.3	32
London Investment Office	20.2	52
Schroder Investment Management	19.9	59
PDFM	16.7	94

The FT-SE-A All-Share Index returned 23.9%, making the target return 24.9% for the individual managers. The best returns were achieved by Baillie Gifford and the London Investment Office in 1995, although both managers failed to reach this demanding target which was achieved by only 3% of managers in the WM Universe.

#### **INVESTMENT REPORT**

World economic growth continued but at a slower pace during 1995, although it remained healthily positive in most economies.

Although economies slowed as 1995 progressed, they recovered in the first quarter of 1996. This recovery is likely to accelerate throughout 1996.

Japan and the core economies of Europe have been the slowest to improve. However, evidence grew during 1995 that Japan should show respectable growth into 1996. Core European growth on the other hand remains weak.

Last year's fall in interest rates and a reduced rate of destocking should lead to a further improvement in the US economy after last year's slowdown. Similarly, in the UK faster consumer expenditure and higher levels of investment will possibly reverse the slowdown seen in 1995.

The Pacific region continues to show steady rapid growth. The region now has the critical mass for it to function along with Japan as an autonomous trading bloc.

The evidence of synchronisation in world economic growth increased during 1995 and is likely to be reinforced in 1996 and 1997. The move towards more uniform growth rates is unlikely to create significant inflationary pressures, although it could temporarily increase inflationary expectations.

Commodity prices remained relatively steady over the year. The need to cut costs and job insecurity continued to put downward pressure on wage inflation. Capacity constraints were not a problem in 1995 with most major economies operating well below productive potential and growing at or below trend levels. Cost pressures appear to be well under control for the medium term.

Short term interest rates fell during the year in all the major economies. This has been in response to the weakening economic growth referred to above. It is unlikely that aggressive tightening will occur given the modest growth, low inflationary environment which we expect.

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME COMMITTEE REPORTS

Long term interest rates rose sharply at the end of the period under review to 31 March 1996. From April to December 1995 however, long term rates fell significantly. This is reflected in the bond returns achieved by pension funds in calendar 1995. The pick up in economic activity is likely to prevent a significant recovery in bonds, as will rising inflationary expectations, caused by concerns over crop failures and potential supply constraints in both hard and soft commodities. Additionally, high real yields are likely to prevent a significant fall in bond prices.

Sterling performed relatively well against the yen, held its own versus the deutschemark but fell against the US dollar. We expect it to outperform both the yen and the deutschemark in 1996 but to lag behind the US dollar. Political worries are likely to be offset by assurances from New Labour that they will take any action necessary on interest rates to support sterling.

Liquidity provided major support for markets during 1995/96 and this is forecast to continue in 1996/97. Corporations have seen a significant improvement in their positions. Individuals, especially in the USA, have committed large amounts of funds to equity and bond markets. Additionally, the Japanese government's attempts to refinance that economy has led to large purchases of US bonds which, in turn, has tended to support most equity markets. This liquidity position is likely to be less positive during 1996/97 which will make it difficult for markets to perform as well over the coming year as they did in 1995/96.

The performance of the major markets to 31 March 1996 is shown below:-

# EQUITY AND FIXED INTEREST MARKET TOTAL RETURNS FOR THE YEAR TO 31 MARCH 1996

	Equities		Fixed Int	terest
	Local Currency %	Sterling %	Local Currency %	Sterling %
UK	22.9	22.9	9.9	9.9
Germany	29.8	28.4	11.0	9.8
France	16.0	17.9	15.1	17.0
USA	32.1	40.9	11.4	18.8
Japan	26.6	9.3	6.9	(7.7)
Pacific ex-Japan	22.8	33.4	-	-
UK Index-linked	<b>H</b> (1)	-	7.6	7.6

Source:FT Actuaries World Indices LOC Bond Indices

# COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### Equities



# **Fixed** Interest





#### PROPERTY

Expectations that rental values would slowly but surely rise during 1995 proved to be premature and the assumed consequent fall in yields did not materialise. In the event, rental values did improve slightly but yields continued the upward trend of the previous year and total returns in 1995 fell to 4.1% (as measured by the Investment Property Databank). However by the early part of 1996 yields had appeared to stabilise and a number of funds had declared an intention to increase weightings in the sector as tenant demand continued to improve. The market remains cautious but optimistic for the medium term.

The fund's completed property portfolio was valued by our independent valuers, Colliers Erdman Lewis at £775.5 million as at 31 March 1996.

A breakdown of the valuation by type and geographic location of the completed properties is shown below:

Type of Investment	Freehold £m	Leasehold £m	Total £m	%
Retail	293.2	35.0	328.2	42.3
Retail warehouse	8.4	5.2	13.6	1.8
Office	162.9	47.4	210.3	27.1
Business space	127.4	5.5	132.9	17.1
Industrial	72.7	16.3	89.0	11.5
Agricultural	1.5	0	1.5	0.2
TOTAL	666.1	109.4	775.5	100



# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME COMMITTEE REPORTS

# Geographical location

London
South East
South West
East Anglia
Midlands
Wales
The North
Scotland
TOTAL



Net income for the year rose from  $\pounds 62.2$  million to  $\pounds 62.5$  million and good progress in letting vacant space was achieved, particularly at the recently acquired Beechwood Shopping Centre in Cheltenham. At 31 March 1996, the value of rental voids was less than 3% of the total rental income.

£m	%
172.0	22.2
244.2	31.5
24.9	3.2
8.2	1.1
209.9	27.0
12.5	1.6
70.6	9.1
33.2	4.3
775.5	100.0



#### New investment and sales

Two major transactions, namely the sale of the Marco Polo Building, London SW 8 and the sale of 30/31 Princes Street, Edinburgh for a total of  $\pounds$ ,46.3 million were successfully completed during the year. In addition, the fund added to its existing retail holdings at Telford, Cheltenham and Aldershot by investing a further  $f_{2,7.95}$  million in purchasing adjacent properties and purchased a small retail warehouse development at Sheffield for  $\pounds$  3.62 million.

In line with our strategy to improve the quality of the portfolio by acquiring new buildings, agreements were exchanged to finance prime office buildings in Manchester and Reading for completion in 1997 with a total maximum investment of  $f_{25.5}$  million.

The fund is adopting a more optimistic view of property but with emphasis continuing to be on improving the existing portfolio and acquiring first class retail investments where potential returns are considered attractive.

#### CASH AVAILABLE FOR INVESTMENT

Cash available for investment for the year ended 31 March 1996 amounted to  $\pounds$ 615.9 million. An analysis of the net new investment undertaken during the year to 31 March 1996, along with the comparative figures for the preceding year, is set out in the table below:-

	1	1996		995
	£m	%	£m	%
Securities	710.6	115.4	354.2	66.2
Property	(12.8)	(2.1)	15.3	2.8
Life assurance policies	(51.7)	(8.4)	(40.3)	(7.5)
Managed fund	(36.9)	(6.0)	(30.6)	(5.7)
Cash deposits	55.6	9.0	117.6	22.0
Stockbroker balances	(48.9)	(7.9)	118.9	22.2
	615.9	100.0	535.1	100.0

# INVESTMENT ACTIVITY

An analysis of the net new investment in securities and property for the year to 31 March 1996, along with comparative figures for the preceding year is set out in the table below:-

	1996		1995	
	£m	%	£	%
UK equities	427.8	61.3	150.2	40.7
Overseas equities	251.0	36.0	(325.2)	(88.0)
Index-linked	27.6	4.0	(10.1)	(2.7)
UK fixed interest	96.5	13.8	203.5	55.0
Overseas fixed interest	(92.3)	(13.2)	335.8	90.9
Property	(12.8)	(1.9)	15.3	4.1
	697.8	100.0	369.5	100.0

# COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### INVESTMENT IN LIFE ASSURANCE POLICIES

The fund continued to hold policies with the Equitable Life Assurance Society (ELAS) assigned to it in respect of former FSSU members.

During the year to 31 March 1996 approximately 4,900 ELAS policies matured and the proceeds were paid to USS.

The portfolio distribution of the underlying assets of the remaining 39,100 policies as at 31 March 1996, along with the comparative figures for the preceding year, is set out below:-

UK equities Overseas equities Index-linked UK fixed interest Property Cash

Of the managed fund created from the University Life Assurance Society policies,  $\neq$ ,36.9 million was paid over on 1 October 1995. The final tranche will be paid over in October 1996.

	1996	1	995
£m	%	£m	%
124.0	44.8	115.4	43.6
49.9	18.0	45.9	17.3
2.8	1.0	2.6	1.0
64.4	23.3	64.4	24.3
22.1	8.0	26.3	9.9
13.5	4.9	10.3	3.9
276.7	100.0	264.9	100.0

# DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS

The composition of the investments (excluding life assurance policies) as at 31 March 1996 and the comparative figures for the preceding year, are set out in the table below:-

	ſm	1996 £m	%	ſm	1995 £m	%
UK fixed interest	£m	£m	70	£m	ž,m	70
British Government						
Conventional	501.8			457.8		
Index-linked	270.3			229.1		
Other debentures						
& loan stocks	20.1			0.0		
		792.2	6.8		686.9	7.3
Overseas fixed interest						
North America	239.5			257.7		
Europe	189.0			208.1		
Japan	0.0			0.0		
Pacific	112.2			124.1		
		540.7	4.6		589.9	6.2
Total fixed interest		1,332.9	11.4		1,276.8	13.5
UK equities	(12.1			152 0		
Mineral extraction	612.1			452.9		
General manufacturers	1,212.3			962.1 928.3		
Consumer goods Services	1,048.7			928.3		
Utilities	1,500.5			957.9 609.5		
Financials	721.9			758.8		
Investment trusts	1,071.3 172.6			118.7		
Unit trusts	82.8			66.8		
Futures & options	2.8			43.3		
Unquoted	5.3			11.3		
Onquoted		6,430.3	54.9		4,909.6	51.9
Overseas equities		0,10010	5117		1,70710	0117
America	320.4			194.1		
Japan	534.2			375.6		
Europe	779.0			573.8		
Pacific	858.7			660.6		
Other	88.9			60.2		
Unquoted	0.1			0.3		
		2,581.3	22.1		1,864.6	19.7
Total equities		9,011.6	77.0		6,774.2	71.6
Total securities	10,344.5		88.4	8,051.0		85.1
Property	782.6		6.7	840.0		8.9
Managed fund	78.4		0.7	95.3		1.0
Cash deposits	465.4		4.0	395.0		4.2
Stockbroker balances	26.5		0.2	75.4		0.8
Total investments (excluding life assurance policies)	g 11,697.4		100.0	9,456.7		100.0

# LARGEST EQUITY HOLDINGS

A list of the fund's largest twenty equity holdings together with their percentage of the total fund (excluding life assurance policies) is shown below:-

Shell Transport & Trading British Petroleum Glaxo Wellcome British Telecom HSBC Holdings Lloyds TSB Group SmithKline Beecham **BAT** Industries BTR National Westminster Bank Zeneca **Reuters** Holdings Grand Metropolitan Prudential Corp Marks & Spencer Cable & Wireless British Gas RTZ Corp General Electric Unilever

Signed on behalf of the investment sub-committee

Mark Fitzalan Howard Chairman

UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME

Value	<b>0</b> /
£m	%
234	2.0
227	1.9
220	1.9
172	1.5
152	1.3
140	1.2
113	1.0
112	1.0
111	0.9
96	0.8
95	0.8
89	0.8
86	0.7
86	0.7
84	0.7
83	0.7
82	0.7
75	0.6
72	0.6
71	0.6
2,400	20.4

#### JOINT NEGOTIATING COMMITTEE

The functions of the joint negotiating committee are to approve amendments to the rules proposed by the trustee company, to initiate or consider alterations to the rules and to consider any alterations proposed by the advisory committee arising out of the operation of the rules. The joint negotiating committee also has powers under the Articles of Association of the trustee company in connection with the appointment and remuneration of directors.

The membership of the committee remained unchanged during the year.

Although the committee did not meet, and no rule changes were approved, during the year ended 31 March 1996, a meeting of the committee was held on 11 April 1996 at which a number of rule changes were approved, the most significant being:

- (a) to deal with those circumstances in which the earnings cap introduced by the Finance Act 1989 would apply, having regard to the continued rights of certain members to the provisions of the previous tax regime:
- (b) to align the definition of "dependant" in the USS rules with that contained in the Inland Revenue requirements; and
- (c) to correct an inaccuracy inadvertently introduced into the rules when they were last revised in 1994 relating to the calculation of benefits paid following death after retirement.

Signed on behalf of the joint negotiating committee.

Kenneth Berrill Chairman

#### **ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

The functions of the advisory committee are to advise the trustee company on the exercise of its powers and discretions (other than those relating to investment matters), on difficulties in the implementation or application of the rules and on any complaints received from members or participating institutions, and any other matters on which the trustee company requires advice.

Three meetings were held during the year. With effect from 1 August 1995 Dr D J Wright replaced Mr C L Rice as a committee member appointed by the AUT.

During the year the committee considered a number of general issues and individual cases where the circumstances did not fall clearly within the trustee company's guidelines, and made recommendations to the management committee. The committee considered the general principles of pension provision for unmarried partners and reviewed the contractual nature of allocation of part of a member's pension on the grounds of serious ill-health and made recommendations in two cases. The committee also investigated the incidence of cases brought to it by each institution.

The committee made recommendations in three cases relating to the definition of eligible children, three relating to the reduction in a spouse's pension where the spouse was more than 10 years younger than the deceased member, four relating to the continuation of a spouse's pension following remarriage, one relating to the reduction in a member's pensionable service as a result of a transfer back to the National Health Service Pension Scheme, one relating to the calculation of pensionable salary following a non-standard increase in salary and one relating to a complaint that a member's SERPS pension was being deducted from her USS benefits.

Signed on behalf of the advisory committee

D Anderson-Evans Chairman

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

# UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS

		MEMBERS	PEI Pensioner Members	NSIONERS Spouses, Dependants and Dependent Children
No	Name			
0100	Aberdeen	1,211	394	73
4100	Aston	401	272	67
4300	Bath	812	232	41
6600	Belfast	1,390	318	84
1000	Birmingham	1,917	706	156
4200	Bradford	684	296	62
1100	Bristol	1,873	403	92
4400	Brunel	539	210	34
7035	Buckingham	102	16	7
1200	Cambridge (University)	3,040	602	167
1202	Christ's	6	4	2
1204	Churchill	24	4	1
1206	Clare	11	2	1
1208	Clare Hall	5		2
1210	Corpus Christi	11	2	2
1212	Darwin	2	4	-
1214	Downing	9	6	2
1216	Emmanuel	14	3	2
1218	Fitzwilliam	10	3	1
1220	Girton	27	9	2
1222	Gonville & Caius	20	7	1
1224	Hughes Hall		1	1
1226	Jesus	17	3	1
1228	King's	25	5	1
1230	Lucy Cavendish	17	3	<b>77</b> 31
1232	Magdalene	9		2
1234	New Hall	18	8	
1236	Newnham	23	17	1
1238	Pembroke	21	5	<u>-</u>
1240	Peterhouse	12	3	¥1
1242	Queens'	8		1
1245	Robinson	12	4	-
1246	St Catharine's	11	2	
1255	St Edmund's	1	1.00	
1250	St John's	27	4	2
1252	Selwyn	9	1	
1254	Sidney Sussex	15	1	

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

#### UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS

	ME	MBERS	PENSIONERS Pensioner Spouses Depender		
			Pensioner Members	Spouses, Dependant and Dependent Children	
No	Name			~ ~ ~ ~	
1258	Trinity	33	6	1	
1260	Trinity Hall	12	1	2	
1268	Wolfson	4	2	20	
4700	City	663	214	55	
7016	Cranfield	843	247	56	
0700	Dundee	846	213	48	
1300	Durham (University)	1,031	255	46	
1301	St Chad's	1	( <del>-</del>	-	
1500	East Anglia	843	183	23	
0200	Edinburgh	2,474	637	159	
1700	Essex	548	109	25	
1600	Exeter	813	268	44	
0300	Glasgow	2,082	531	102	
0800	Heriot-Watt	637	139	28	
1800	Hull	786	243	50	
3100	Keele	560	158	39	
1900	Kent at Canterbury	804	155	31	
2100	Lancaster	896	191	48	
2000	Leeds	2,199	635	143	
2200	Leicester	1,128	230	51	
2300	Liverpool	1,615	419	99	
2497	London (University)	454	337	60	
2408	Birkbeck	392	103	18	
2433	British Postgraduate	0,2	150		
	Medical Federation	48	206	65	
2420	Charing Cross & Westminster		100		
	Medical School	195	53	13	
2466	Eastman Dental Institute	37	-	1	
2401	Goldsmiths' College	342	54	2	
2480	Heythrop	10	2	-	
2409	Imperial Coll of Science,	10			
	Technology & Medicine	1,774	438	101	
2440	Institute of Cancer Research	165	4	101	
2465	Institute of Child Health	150	3	20 <u></u>	
2403	Institute of Education	283	155	27	
2470	Institute of Neurology	89	1		
2474	Institute of Psychiatry	184	1		
2410	King's College London	1,231	434	77	

# MEMBERSHIP STATISTICS

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

# **UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS**

	M	EMBERS	PE Pensioner Members	NSIONERS Spouses, Dependants and Dependent Children
No	Name	24		
2412	London School of Economics			
	& Political Science	514	151	34
2434	London School of Hygiene &			
	Tropical Medicine	286	52	20
2413	Queen Mary & Westfield Coll	lege 966	372	66
2426	Royal Free Hospital School			
	of Medicine	173	39	6
2447	Royal Holloway and			
	Bedford New College	468	181	21
2461	Royal Postgraduate Medical			
	School	187	63	7
2436	Royal Veterinary College	126	41	15
2428	St George's Hospital			
	Medical School	242	36	8
2415	School of Oriental &			
	African Studies	287	120	31
2416	School of Pharmacy	68	25	3
2430	United Medical & Dental Sch	ools 558	152	41
2417	University College	2,289	586	103
2419	Wye College	120	46	10
2484	London Business School	145	22	2
4600	Loughborough	994	270	71
2500	Manchester	2,455	694	156
5100	UMIST	932	263	43
1400	Newcastle-upon-Tyne	1,602	471	114
2600	Nottingham	1,857	365	86
8900	Open	1,785	230	72
2700	Oxford (University)	3,157	704	202
2701	All Souls	23	9	2
2702	Balliol	22	4	
2703	Brasenose	7	3	2
2704	Christ Church	38	6	1
2705	Corpus Christi	16	4	2
2706	Exeter	12	2	2
2707	Hertford	9	3	1
2708	Jesus	15	3	2 <u>2</u>
2709	Keble	7	3	12
2710	Lady Margaret Hall	17	8	-

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

#### UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS

		MEMBERS	PENSIONERS Pensioner Spouses, Dependants		
			Members	and Dependent Children	
No	Name				
2734	Linacre	6	1	-	
2711	Lincoln	12	4	1	
2712	Magdalen	21	12	2	
2735	Harris Manchester	8	=	-	
2732	Mansfield	15	3	4	
2713	Merton	21	8	1	
2714	New College	32	7	1	
2715	Nuffield	34	8	2	
2716	Oriel	19	5	ð <u>vier</u>	
2717	Pembroke	8	3	1	
2718	Queen's	18	7	5 <b>4</b>	
2719	St Anne's	21	6	:*	
2720	St Antony's	14	6	1	
2721	St Catherine's	12	6	1	
2722	St Edmund Hall	8	1	1	
2723	St Hilda's	18	7		
2724	St Hugh's	15	5		
2725	St John's	26	5		
2726	St Peter's	12	4	1	
2727	Somerville	15	5		
2728	Trinity	10	3	<u>~</u>	
2729	University	12	5	1	
2730	Wadham	13	5	2 7	
2733	Wolfson	13	3		
2731	Worcester	13	6		
2800	Reading	1,186	330	80	
0400	St Andrews	622	171	36	
4800	Salford	588	349	55	
2900	Sheffield	2,092	435	91	
3000	Southampton	1,807	354	68	
0500	Stirling	527	107	30	
0600	Strathclyde	1,234	396	97	
4000	Surrey	866	236	35	
3200	Sussex	892	234	37	
6800	Ulster	1,264	183	43	
3900	Wales (University)	59	10	2	
3300	Aberystwyth	514	172	52	
3400	Bangor	605	183	47	

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

# UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS

		MEMBERS	PENSIONERS		
			Pensioner Members	Spouses, Dependant and Dependent Children	
No	Name				
3500	College of Cardiff	1,169	481	117	
3800	Lampeter (St David's)	104	21	7	
3600	Swansea	821	224	57	
3700	University of Wales Colle	ge			
	of Medicine	434	70	19	
5000	Warwick	1,271	177	36	
5200	York	871	118	21	
	Old university				
	institutions total	73,204	19,485	4,290	
	New universities admit limited membership or				
00.40	limited membership or	nly			
8060	<b>limited membership o</b> De Montfort	nly 3		-	
8010	<b>limited membership o</b> De Montfort Glamorgan	nly 3 3			
8010 8040	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire	nly 3 3 1	15 14 14 14		
8010 8040 8050	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield	nly 3 3 1 1		-	
8010 8040 8050 8020	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield South Bank	nly 3 3 1 1 2	12	~	
8010 8040 8050 8020 8030	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield South Bank Thames Valley	nly 3 3 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
8010 8040 8050 8020	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield South Bank	nly 3 3 1 1 2	12	~	
8010 8040 8050 8020 8030	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield South Bank Thames Valley	nly 3 3 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12	~	
8010 8040 8050 8020 8030	limited membership or De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield South Bank Thames Valley Plymouth	nly 3 3 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12	~	
8010 8040 8050 8020 8030	limited membership of De Montfort Glamorgan Hertfordshire Huddersfield South Bank Thames Valley Plymouth New university	nly 3 3 1 1 2 1 3 3 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 1	12	~	

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

# NON-UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS

	MEMB	ERS	PE Pensioner Members	NSIONERS Spouses, Dependant and Dependent Children
No	Name			
7113	Aberdeen Univ Research			
	& Ind Services Ltd	5	i <del>ci</del>	-
7010	Animal Health Trust	27	2	
7040	Arthritis & Rheumatism Council	1	1	-
7154	Associated Examining Board	8	200	
7011	Association of Commonwealth			
	Universities	25	27	4
7108	Aston Techn Planning &			
	Management Services Ltd	24	<u>ی</u>	121
7067	Beatson Institute for			
	Cancer Research	30	1	-
7084	BLCMP (Library Services) Ltd	6	1	:#:
7037	BRF International	55	6	1
7012	British Glass Manufacturers'			
	Confederation	4	5	1
7110	British Inst at Amman for			
	Archaeology & History	1		-
7030	British Institute in Eastern Africa	2	.E	: <del></del> :
7091	British Institute of Archaeology			
	at Ankara	1	1	
7112	British Institute of International			
	& Comp Law	1	1	5 <u></u> 1
7061	British Institute of Persian Studies	-		
7081	British Paediatric Association	2		
7097	British Psychological Society	4		
7087	British School at Athens	2	1	1
7092	British School at Rome	_	-	
7033	British School of Archaeology		52-4	
1000	in Iraq	1	72	
7034	British School of Archaeology	-		
1001	in Jerusalem	4	1	-
7050	British University Sports Federation			
7133	Brunel Institute of Organisation			
. 100	& Social Studies	3		_
7122	Burden Neurological Institute	3		
7116	Cambridge Crystallographic	5	9,5 	
, 110	Data Centre	12	1	12.5
7060	Cancer Research Campaign	33	8	1

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

#### **NON-UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS**

	MEMB	ERS	PEN Pensioner Members	VSIONERS Spouses, Dependant and Dependent Children
No	Name			
7153	CASE	2	-0	
7015	College of Estate Management	29	11	12
7121	Committee of Vice-Chancellors			
	and Principals	27	4	
7100	Company of Biologists Ltd	-	·····	21
7098	Culham College Inst for			
	Church Related Education	1		20
7055	East Grinstead Med Research			
	Trust (Blond Labs Ltd)	2	1	-
7032	Edinburgh University Students'			
	Association	8	-	
7089	Ewing Foundation	2	1	
7120	Family Policy Studies Centre	-	-	
7051	FSSU Secretariat	+	17. AND 18. AND 19. AN	1
7041	Geographical Association	4	1	1
7152	Gray Laboratory	1	1	<i>₩</i>
7148	Gyosei International College			
	in the UK	1	3 <del>2</del>	
7025	Henley Management College	50	23	1
7157	Higher Education Careers			
	Service Unit	<u> 4</u> 0	<u> </u>	-
7136	Higher Education Quality Counci	1 27	_	-
7135	Higher Education Statistics			
	Agency Ltd	8	-	1
7053	History of Parliament Trust	22	4	
7143	Homerton College	6	=	4
7036	Inns of Court School of Law	52	6	4
7079	Institute for Community Studies	13	4	22
7137	Inst of Contemporary History			
	& Wiener Library Ltd	-20	22	b <b></b>
7017	Institute of Development Studies	58	19	2
7056	Institute of Food Science			
	& Technology	1	-	
7029	Institute for Employment Studies	11	6	-
7124	International Institute of			
	Biotechnology	1	2	-
7132	International Society (Manchester)	1	121	_
7149	International Students House	3		

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

# NON-UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS

	MEMB	ERS	PE Pensioner Members	NSIONERS Spouses, Dependant: and Dependent Children
No	Name			Children
7054	Joint Library of Hellenic			
	& Roman Societies		2	<u>2</u>
7147	JNT Association	23	5 <u>11</u> 7	<u>2</u>
7066	Journal of Endocrinology Ltd	1	<u>:==</u> :	4
2482	Lister Institute of Preventive			
	Medicine	1	7	2
7107	Liver Research Unit Trust	-		<u></u>
7117	Ludwig Inst for Cancer			
	Research - Middlesex Branch	23	ж.	-
7039	Ludwig Inst for Cancer			
	Research - St Mary's Branch	11	4	7.
7090	Marie Curie Cancer Care	28	1	5
7125	Marine Biological Association			
	of the United Kingdom	15	-	-
7026	Mathilda & Terence Kennedy			
	Inst of Rheumatology	31	7	1
7096	Modern Humanities			
	Research Association	1	1	<u>12</u>
7094	Motor Industry Research Association	82	26	3
7059	Museum Documentation Associatio		-	_
7114	Nat Collections of Ind &			
	Marine Bacteria Ltd	3	3	-
7018	National Inst of Economic			
	& Social Research	18	7	1
7080	Norfolk Agricultural Station			QVI 
	(Morley Res Centre)	16	1	-
7073	Northern College for			0 9.065
	Residential Adult Education	27	3	1
7024	Northern Examinations and			
	Assessment Board	41	19	3
7146	Northern Ireland Council for			
	Postgraduate Med & Dental Educ	5	<u>19</u>	-
7115	Northern Ireland Economic			
	Research Centre	14		-
7048	Numerical Algorithms Group Ltd	56	1	
7155	Nuffield Provincial Hospital Trust	1	-	
7058	Open University Educational			
	Enterprises Ltd	4	1	-
7023	Overseas Development Institute	22	2	

# MEMBERSHIP STATISTICS

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

#### **NON-UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS**

	MEMB	ERS	PE Pensioner Members	NSIONERS Spouses, Dependant and Dependent Children
No	Name	_		
7118	Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies	2	54	<u></u>
7031	Oxford Centre for Postgraduate			
	Hebrew Studies	9	1	<u></u> .
7104	Pain Relief Foundation	2	G <u>i</u>	-
7075	Policy Studies Institute	41	10	2
7139	Preformation of Undergraduate			
	Engineers	9	( <del></del>	-
7134	Prince of Wales's Institute			
	of Architecture	7	<del></del>	
7052	Reading University Students' Unio	on –	1.77	
7156	Regulatory Policy Institute	<u>a</u> >	18	
7123	Richmond College	33	622	/ <u>**</u> 1
7160	Royal Academy of Music	4.	12	-
7020	Royal College of Surgeons			
	of England	49	22	3
7088	Royal Commission for the			
	Exhibition of 1851	-	1	-
7021	Royal Geographical Society	2	2	1
7082	Royal Institute of International Affair	rs 3	-	-
7077	Royal Institution	17	3	-
7064	Royal Society		17	1
7070	Royal Society of Edinburgh	4	1	
7022	Ruskin College	22	11	2
7144	SAUL Trustee Company	6	12	-
7105	School Mathematics Project	6	2	<u> </u>
7130	Scottish Association for			
	Marine Science	12		-
7128	Southampton University			
	Management School	÷		-
7131	Southern Universities			
	Management Services	5	2	-
7042	Strangeways Research Laboratory	15	5	3
7049	Students' Union University	_		
	of Leicester	2	2 <sup>2</sup>	1
7028	Templeton College	21	9	3
7138	Thrombosis Research Institute	23		-
7109	Trade Union Research Unit Ltd	3	-	_

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

# **NON-UNIVERSITY INSTITUTIONS**

	1	MEMBERS	PE	PENSIONERS		
			Pensioner Members	Spouses, Dependant and Dependent Children		
No	Name					
7141	TUIREG	2	122	5		
7106	Universities and Colleges					
	Admissions Service	26	8	3		
7150	Universities and Colleges					
	Employers Association	4	-	8 <u>1</u>		
7151	Universities and Colleges					
	Staff Development Agency	5	-	2 <b>4</b>		
7140	University of Leeds Innovation	ons Ltd 9	-	-		
7129	University of Swansea					
	Students' Union	1		_		
7083	University of York Students'	Union -	2	-		
9999	USS Ltd	86	13	2		
7065	Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust	3	8	-		
7142	WP Management Ltd	2	9 <u>08</u>			
7027	York Archaeological Trust	3	044			
7076	Zoological Society of Londor	n 23	8	144 144		
4	Withdrawn institutions	-	41	4		
Non-u	niversity institutions total	1,442	368	71		
All ins	titutions total	74,660 *	19,853	4,361		

\* Included in this figure (but counted once only) are 1,599 members who have more than one appointment.

# MEMBERSHIP STATISTICS

The number of members in the scheme and the number receiving pension and annuity benefits at the end of the year are as follows:

### **SUMMARY OF MOVEMENTS** during the year ended 31 March 1996

Members	University Institutions	Non- University Institutions	Totals
Total members at 1 April 1995	68,804	1,428	70,232
New members	11,711	166	11,877
Retirements			
- Ill-health	109	5	114
- Other	1,322	21	1,343
Deaths	91	2	93
Leavers			
- Refunds	529	8	537
- Transfers	326	2	328
- Deferred benefits and undecided	4,353	11	4,463
Withdrawals			
- Refunds	301	1	302
– Transfers	1		1
- Deferred benefits	30	2	32
- Retrospective*	235	1	236
Total members at 31 March 1996	73,218	1,442	74,66

\* Retrospective withdrawals are members who withdrew from USS within three months of the date of joining the scheme with retrospective effect to the date of commencing employment at a USS institution.

In addition USS Ltd was notified during the year of 2,925 employees who became eligible to join the scheme but who elected not to do so.

### Pensioner Members

ensioner Members	University Institutions	Non- University Institutions	Totals
Total pensioners at 1 April 1995	18,340	345	18,685
New pensioners	1,548	32	1,580
Deaths	403	9	412
Total pensioners at 31 March 1996	19,485	368	19,853

In addition at 31 March 1996, there were 3,704 pensions being paid to spouses and dependants and 657 annuities being paid to dependent children. Deferred pensioners not yet receiving a pension totalled 26,219.

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME

FUND ACCOUNT for the year ended 31 March 1996

#### Income

Contributions Investment income Premature retirement scheme receipts Net transfers

# Outgoings

Benefits payable Administration costs

# Net income

Changes in value of investments during th

Net increase in the fund for the year

Fund at start of year

Fund at end of year

	Note	1996 £m	1995 £m
	3	489,0	450.6
	4	448.9	374.3
		39.8	23.5
	5	10.7	14.9
		988.4	863.3
	6	(355.0)	(317.3)
	7	(5.8)	(4.1)
		(36().8)	(321.4)
		627.6	541.9
ne year	8	1,636.6	(231.1)
		2,264.2	310.8
		9,822.5	9,511.7
		12,086.7	9,822.5

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME

**STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS** as at 31 March 1996

	Note	1996 £m	1995 £m
Investments			
Securities	10	10,344.5	8,051.0
Property	11	782.6	840.0
Life assurance policies	12	276.7	264.9
Managed fund	13	78.4	95.3
Cash deposits		465.4	395.0
Stockbroker balances	14	26.5	75.4
		11,974.1	9,721.6
Net current assets	15	112.6	100.9
Total net assets, representing the fund balance		12,086.7	9,822.5

The financial statements on pages 41 to 49 and the Statement of Trustee's Responsibilities on page 50 were approved by the Trustee, Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited, on 25 July 1996 and were signed on its behalf by:

Graeme J Davies Chairman

D B Chynoweth Chief Executive

# **NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS** for the year ended 31 March 1996

#### **1** Accounting policies

A summary of the significant accounting policies which have been applied consistently by the scheme is set out below.

#### Accounting convention

The accounts have been prepared under the historic cost convention, with the exception of the investments which are stated at current value in accordance with parts 2 to 4 of the Statement of Recommended Practice No 1 (Pension Scheme Accounts), and comply with applicable accounting standards in the United Kingdom.

#### Contributions

Contributions represent the amounts returned by the participating institutions as being those due to the scheme in respect of the year of account. The responsibility for ensuring the accuracy of contributions rests with institutions which, under the terms of the Trust Deed regulating USS, are ultimately responsible for ensuring the solvency of the scheme. Receipts under the premature retirement scheme are accounted for in the period in which they fall due.

#### Investment income

Investment income is brought into account on the following bases:

- becomes entitled to the income;
- (b) interest on cash deposits, as it accrues;
- (c) property rental income, as it accrues;

#### Property

A completed property is one that has received an architect's certificate of practical completion and which is either substantially let or, although not substantially let, is neither within the period of contractors' defects nor is expected to be the subject of further building works. Developments in progress include any property which is not a completed property.

#### Life assurance policies

Policy proceeds and premiums paid are not treated as income and outgoings but are accounted for within the value at which the life assurance policies are included in the statement of net assets.

### Rates of exchange

Assets and liabilities denominated in overseas currencies are translated into sterling at the rates of exchange ruling at the balance sheet date and any exchange movements on translation are included in the fund account.

UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME

(a) dividends and interest from quoted and unquoted securities, on the date that the scheme

(d) interest on advances for property developments, which is credited to the fund account and forms part of the cost of the relevant development, as it accrues until the earlier of the development becoming a completed property or the contracted purchase price being reached.

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS ACCOUNTS

### Net transfers

Transfers to and from the fund are accounted for on the basis of amounts received and paid during the year.

# Benefits payable

Benefits payable are accounted for as they fall due.

#### Investments

Investments are included at current value in the statement of net assets.

The current values are as follows:

(a)	Quoted securities	144	at mid market value;
(b)	Unquoted securities	<u>ш</u>	at trustee company's valuation;
(c)	Property	( <del>11</del> )	on the basis of open market
			value except for developments in progress
			which are stated at the lower of cost and
			estimated market value;
(d)	Life assurance policies	-	at the amount disclosed by an annual
			actuarial valuation;
(e)	Managed fund	(जा)	at mid market value.

Changes in current values are shown as movements in the fund account in the year in which they arise.

#### 2 Actuarial report

The accounts on pages 41 to 49 summarise the financial transactions and net assets of the scheme. They do not take account of liabilities to pay pensions and other benefits in the future. The actuarial position of the scheme, which does take account of such liabilities, is dealt with in the statements by the actuary on pages 52 to 54 and the accounts should be read in conjunction therewith.

Contributions	1996 £m	1995 £m
Main Section		
Employers' contributions	357.0	328.9
Members' basic contributions	115.4	106.3
Members' additional voluntary contributions	9.9	9.2
	482.3	444.4
Supplementary Section		
Members' contributions	6.7	6.2
	489.0	450.6

Additional voluntary contributions referred to above represent contributions made to purchase additional pensionable service under the rules of the scheme.

UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS ACCOUNTS

# Money Purchase additional voluntary contributions A money purchase additional voluntary contribution facility is administered by the Prudential Assurance Company Limited.

Individual members' contributions are deducted from their salaries and paid direct to the Prudential by the institutions. The contributions are invested through the Prudential on behalf of the individuals concerned to provide additional benefits within the overall limits laid down by the Inland Revenue. The contributions paid and the investments purchased are not included in the accounts.

The value of the accumulated additional voluntary contributions at 31 March 1996 was  $\pounds$ 9.3 million. Contributions from members during the year amounted to  $\pounds$ 5.5 million from which administration expenses of £55,000 were deducted by the Prudential.

# 4 Investment Income

Dividends from UK equities Net property income Dividends from overseas equities Income from UK fixed interest securities Income from overseas fixed interest securities Income from index-linked securities Interest on cash deposits Underwriting commissions Investment management costs Translation differences

Investment management costs are shown as a deduction from investment income and comprise all costs directly attributable to the scheme's investment activities, including the operating costs of the London Investment Office, the costs of management and agency services rendered by third parties.

# 5 Net transfers

Transfers from other pension schemes Transfers to other pension schemes

1996	1995
£m	£m
257.4	209.0
62.5	62.2
44.6	41.4
32.2	24.1
25.7	15.5
7.7	7.2
24.5	19.6
1.0	1.0
(6.0)	(5.7)
(0.7)	-
448.9	374.3
	() - M

£m
30.7
(15.8)
14.9

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS ACCOUNTS

#### 6 Benefits payable

Denents payable	1996 £m	1995 £m
Main section		
Pensions	278.4	256.8
Lump sums on or after retirement	64.1	51.3
Lump sums on death in service	7.2	3.8
Refunds of contributions	1.1	1.1
	350.8	313.0
Supplementary section		*
Pensions	3.0	2.8
Lump sums on or after retirement	0.9	1.1
Lump sums on death in service	0.3	0.4
	4.2	4.3
	355.0	317.3

# 7 Administration costs

In accordance with the Trust Deed, the costs of managing and administering the scheme, incurred by the trustee, are chargeable to USS. Details are given in the financial statements of the trustee (Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited : Registered No. 1167127).

# 8 Changes in value of investments

The changes in the value of investments are shown below:

(	Current value 1995	Purchases during the year at cost	Proceeds of sales during the year	Changes in value during the year	Current value 1996
	£m	fun at cost	£m	£m	£m
Securities	8,051.0	4,566.3	(3,855.7)	1,582.9	10,344.5
Property	840.0	33.5	(46.3)	(44.6)	782.6
Life assurance policie	s 264.9	2.6	(54.3)	63.5	276.7
Managed fund	95.3	-	(36.9)	20.0	78.4
Cash deposits	395.0	55.6	120	14.8	465.4
	9,646.2	4,658.0	(3,993.2)	1,636.6	11,947.6
Stockbroker balances	75.4	- <u></u> -			26.5
	9,721.6				11,974.1

Changes in the value of investments comprise both realised gains (losses) on investments sold during the year and unrealised gains (losses) on investments held at the year end.

# 9 Taxation

# UK tax

USS is an exempt approved scheme under the Income & Corporation Taxes Act 1988 and is therefore not normally liable to UK income tax on income from investments directly held nor to capital gains tax arising from the disposal of such investments.

#### Overseas tax

Investment income from overseas investments may be subject to deduction of local withholding taxes. Where no double taxation agreement exists between the UK and the country in which the income arises, the tax suffered is deducted from the income to which it relates.

Investment income arising from stocks and securities in the United States of America is exempt from US tax under the Internal Revenue Code.

# 10 Securities

#### Quoted

UK equities Overseas equities UK fixed interest Overseas fixed interest Index-linked

#### Unquoted

UK equities **Overseas** equities

Unquoted securities were valued by the trustee based upon independent advice.

#### 11 Property

UK completed properties UK developments in progress

Properties analysed by type: Freehold Leasehold

The completed properties were valued independently by Colliers Erdman Lewis Ltd, Chartered Surveyors as at 31 March 1996 and 1995.

1996	1995
£m	£m
6,425.0	4,898.3
2,581.2	1,864.3
521.9	457.8
540.7	589.9
270.3	229.1
10,339.1	8,039.4
5.3	11.3
0.1	0.3
5.4	11.6
10,344.5	8,051.0

1996 £m	1995 £m
775.5	840.0
7.1	-
782.6	840.0
dh-a-34	
673.2	731.0
109.4	109.0
782.6	840.0

# 12 Life assurance policies

The scheme continues to hold policies with the Equitable Life Assurance Society which were assigned to it in respect of former FSSU members, the majority of the policies being "with profits".

The basis of valuation is stated in Note 1.

# 13 Managed fund

A managed fund was set up at 1 January 1993 with University Life Assurance Society on transfer of  $\pounds$ 128.1 million, being the value of the cancelled life assurance policies previously held with the University Life Assurance Society at that date. The underlying assets are being transferred to USS in instalments in October each year with the last instalment due to be transferred in October 1996.

The basis of valuation is stated in Note 1.

# 14 Stockbroker balances

14 Stockbroker balances	1996 £m	1995 £m
Amount due to stockbrokers	(62.4)	(152.0)
Amount due from stockbrokers	88.9	227.4
	26.5	75.4
15 Net current assets	1996 £m	1995 £m
Current assets		
Dividends receivable	75.5	73.7
Contributions due from institutions	47.3	36.6
Life assurance policy proceeds due	2.2	2.1
Other debtors	2.2	2.1
Cash at bank and in hand	7.3	7.0
Due from USS Ltd	2.1	0.3
	136.6	121.8
Current liabilities		
Property creditors	11.6	11.4
Benefits payable	2.6	0.9
Other creditors	9.8	8.6
	24.0	20.9
	112.6	100.9

# USS ACCOUNTS

# 16 Financial commitments

## Property

Authorised but not contracted for

Contracts placed but not provided for

# Securities

Forward commitments for unpaid calls on securities and underwriting contracts

1996 £m	1995 Lm
	14.2
26.2	4.6
14.8	9.9

#### STATEMENT OF TRUSTEE'S RESPONSIBILITIES

The Occupational Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 1986 (the Regulations) require that the trustee (Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited) obtains financial statements for each scheme year which give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the scheme during the year and of the disposition at the end of the year of the assets and liabilities, other than liabilities to pay pensions and benefits after the end of the year, and which contain the information specified in Regulation 7 of, and Schedule 3 to, the regulations. The trustee is responsible for ensuring that:

- suitable accounting policies are selected and applied;
- judgements and estimates that are reasonable and prudent are made;
- the financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the scheme will continue in operation.

The trustee is responsible for ensuring that adequate accounting records exist which disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the scheme and which enable it to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Occupational Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 1986. It is also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the scheme and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

We have audited the financial statements on pages 41 to 49.

#### Respective responsibilities of the trustee and auditors

As described on page 50 the scheme's trustee (Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited) is responsible for obtaining audited financial statements. It is our responsibility to form an independent opinion, based on our audit, on those financial statements which have been prepared by the scheme administrators and to report our opinion to you.

#### Basis of opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board. An audit includes examination, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. It also includes an assessment of the significant estimates and judgements made by the trustee in the preparation of the financial statements, and of whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the scheme's circumstances, consistently applied and adequately disclosed.

We planned and performed our audit so as to obtain all the information and explanations which we considered necessary in order to provide us with sufficient evidence to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or other irregularity or error. In forming our opinion, we also evaluated the overall adequacy of the presentation of information in the financial statements.

#### Opinion

In our opinion the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the scheme during the year ended 31 March 1996 and of the disposition at that date of its assets and liabilities, other than liabilities to pay pensions and benefits after the end of the year, and contain the information specified in Regulation 7 of, and Schedule 3 to, the Occupational Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 1986.

In our opinion the contributions payable to the scheme during the year ended 31 March 1996 have been paid in accordance with the scheme rules and with the recommendation of the actuary.

Coopers & Lybrand Chartered Accountants and Registered Auditors Liverpool 29 July 1996

**REPORT OF THE AUDITORS** to the trustee and members of the Universities Superannuation Scheme

### **STATEMENT BY THE ACTUARY** for the year ended 31 March 1996

- 1 On the instructions of the management committee, we carried out an actuarial valuation of the Universities Superannuation Scheme (USS) as at 31 March 1993, with the results set out in our report dated 25 November 1993.
- The results of the valuation showed an excess of liabilities over assets. It was agreed to 2 continue the employers' contribution rate at 18.55%. Of this, 13.78% is required for future service and 4.77% will, on the valuation assumptions, eliminate the past service shortfall over five years.
- 3 The formal actuarial statement on the scheme as required under Regulation 8 of the Occupational Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 1986 is shown separately.
- 4 I also carried out actuarial reviews of USS as at 31 March 1994 and 31 March 1995 which compared the actual experience during the period since 31 March 1993 with the assumptions made for the 1993 actuarial valuation.
- 5 I concluded that overall the financial position of the scheme had improved since the 1993 valuation and I recommended that no change should be made in the rate of contribution being paid by the institutions.
- 6 I am now carrying out a full actuarial valuation of USS as at 31 March 1996 and I shall be reporting to the management committee later this year. In the meantime I consider it appropriate that the management committee should maintain the present rate of contribution.

William M Mercer Ltd Liverpool May 1996

M B Reid Fellow of the Institute of Actuaries

### UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS ACCOUNTS

ACTUARIAL STATEMENT made for the purposes of regulation 8 of the occupational pension schemes (disclosure of information) regulations 1986.

Name of scheme	5
Effective date of valuation	:

#### 1 Security of accrued rights

In my opinion the scheme's assets existing on the effective date fully cover its liabilities as at that date, including liabilities arising in respect of the service of pensioners and deferred pensioners prior to the effective date and on the basis that the service of active members terminates on that date.

#### 2 Security of prospective rights

In my opinion, the resources of the scheme are likely, in the normal course of events, to meet in full the liabilities of the scheme as they fall due. In giving this opinion, I have assumed that the following amounts will be paid to the scheme:-

From 31 March 1993 until 1 April 1995

By the members	: 6.35% of s
By the employing institutions	: 18.55% of
From 1 April 1995 onwards	
By the members	: 6.35% of s
By the employing institutions	: 18.55% of : 13.78% of

Subject to review at future valuations

# 3 Summary of methods and assumptions used

In expressing the opinion given in paragraph 1 above, the assets have been taken at market value at the valuation date and the liabilities for active members and deferred pensioners calculated using the basis for determining "cash equivalents" as defined in Section 97 of the Pension Schemes Act 1993 ie the basis for calculating transfer payments for these members. The liabilities for pensioners have been calculated as an estimate of the cost of securing their pensions with an insurance company.

Universities Superannuation Scheme 31 March 1993

salary less  $f_{100}$  as specified in the rules.

salary less  $f_1100$  for each member.

salary as specified in the rules.

salary for each member until 31 March 1998. salary for each member thereafter.

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS ACCOUNTS

In expressing the opinion given in paragraph 2, I have adopted the actuarial methods and assumptions described in detail in our formal report dated 25 November 1993 on the actuarial valuation of the scheme as at 31 March 1993. The principal actuarial assumptions are as follows:-

- (a) The long term yield which may be expected to be earned on new investments over a considerable period in the future, allowing for both income and capital appreciation, will exceed the general rate of salary increases as a result of inflation by 2 per cent per annum, and the general rate of price increases by 3.5 per cent per annum.
- (b) An allowance has been made for salary increases which are granted in excess of general increases as a result of inflation.
- (c) Allowance has been made for withdrawals from the scheme prior to normal pension age through ill-health, death or retirement in good health.

The valuation method used was the projected unit method.

William M Mercer Ltd Liverpool 25 July 1996

M B Reid Fellow of the Institute of Actuaries

# FIVE YEAR SUMMARY - FUND ACCOUNTS for years ended 31 March

	1996 £m	1995 £m	1994 £m	1993 £m	1992 £m
Income					
Contributions	489	451	422	403	371
Investment income	449	374	318	322	306
PRS receipts	40	23	33	18	13
Net transfers	10	15	13	7	9
	988	863	786	750	699
Benefits payable					
Pensions	282	260	240	219	201
Lump sums	72	56	62	44	38
Refunds	1	1	1	1	1
	355	317	303	264	240
Administration costs					
of the trustee (excluding					
investment management costs)	5.8	4.1	3.6	3.4	3.()
Changes in value					
of investments	1,636.6	(231.1)	1,148	1,120	(71)
	,	a	4 (m. 1996)		
Investments of the fund					
(at current values) at 31 Ma	rch				
Securities	10,344	8,051	7,902	6,400	4,810
Property	783	840	849	695	753
Life assurance policies	277	265	299	301	412
Managed fund	78	95	121	132	÷
Cash deposits	465	395	290	311	255
Stockbroker balances	27	75	(43)	(34)	(5)
	11,974	9,721	9,418	7,805	6,225
Membership numbers	10				8
at 31 March	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992
Contributing members	74,700	70,200	67,000	65,300	63,100
Pensioners	24,200	22,700	21,500	20,200	19,200
Deferred pensioners	26,200	23,300	20,800	18,500	16,700
	125,100	116,200	109,300	104,000	99,000

The 1993 and prior year comparative figures for stockbroker balances have been restated to reflect the change in presentation of amounts due to and from stockbrokers introduced in 1994.

The 1993 figure for investment income has been restated to reflect the change in accounting policy in respect of income from securities introduced in 1994. The 1992 investment income figures are presented as previously reported because restated figures are not readily available.

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME LIMITED.

**REPORT OF THE DIRECTORS** for the year ended 31 March 1996

The directors submit their report and the accounts for the year ended 31 March 1996.

#### Principal activity

The company, which is limited by guarantee and does not have a share capital, was established to undertake and discharge the office of trustee of any superannuation scheme but in particular to act as the trustee of the Universities Superannuation Scheme (USS).

#### Operating costs and review of activities

The operating costs for the year amounted to  $f_{11,773,000}$  this amount being recoverable from USS. This compares with  $\pounds$ ,9,752,000 for the year ended 31 March 1995.

The increase in costs compared to the previous year is due to three main factors: the introduction of an early retirement scheme; the relocation of the Liverpool office and the refurbishment of the new premises; and the continuation of the IT strategy.

The introduction of the early retirement scheme has facilitated a restructuring of staff in Liverpool office arising from the introduction of new technology and the introduction of a number of system improvements. This has resulted in a reduction in the number of staff particularly at senior level. It has also provided greater opportunities for career progression for younger staff and given management greater flexibility in working towards the most efficient staffing structures to meet the company's future needs. Total costs incurred during the year in respect of the scheme amounted to £362,000.

The Liverpool office successfully relocated on 4 December 1995 to the Royal Liver Building. The previous offices at Richmond House were unsuitable for the computer systems planned and the new offices provide a significantly improved working environment. As well as raising the profile of USS Ltd within Liverpool the move has had a very positive effect on staff morale. The costs charged to the accounts during the year which are attributable to the move, including depreciation of the capital costs and increased rent and rates, amounted to approximately £500,000.

Considerable progress has been made during the year on the implementation of the IT strategy which began in 1994. The integration of the pensions administration system with the document management system was achieved in time for the office relocation in December 1995 and all active member and leaver files have been scanned and indexed. In total, 5.3 million images are now stored on optical disk and all pensions staff now work on electronic images instead of paper files. Further increases in efficiency will follow the introduction of enhanced case management software and the development of reporting functionality will provide the facility to accurately monitor individual and departmental performance. The increase in costs charged to the accounts during the year compared to the previous year was approximately £540,000.

### Fixed assets

The details of movements in fixed assets are set out in Note 12 to the accounts.

#### Directors

The directors of the company during the year were as follows:

Sir John Sparrow (chairman) E B O Sherlock (deputy chairman) Dr Christine Challis L Collinson Professor Sir Graeme Davies (to 30.9.95) K F Dibben C D Donald

On 31 March 1996 Sir John Sparrow retired and on 1 April 1996 Professor Sir Graeme Davies was reappointed as a director and appointed as chairman.

# Statement of directors' responsibilities

Company law requires the directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company and of the operating costs of the company for that period. In preparing those financial statements, the directors are required to

- select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- make judgements and estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- state whether applicable accounting standards have been followed, subject to any material departures disclosed and explained in the financial statements;
- prepare the financial statements on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the company will continue in business.

The directors are responsible for keeping proper accounting records which disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the company and enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 1985. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud or other irregularities.

#### Auditors

In accordance with section 384 of the Companies Act 1985, a resolution proposing the re-appointment of Coopers & Lybrand will be submitted at the Annual General Meeting.

By order of the board J P Williams Secretary

Dr Kenneth Edwards Professor Brian Fender (from 1.10.95) Professor Martin Harris Lord Mark Fitzalan Howard Dr G R Talbot J W D Trythall

25 July 1996

# STATEMENT OF OPERATING COSTS for the year ended 31 March 1996

	Note	1996 £000	1995 £000
Personnel costs			
Employees' emoluments	4	4,029	3,274
Directors' emoluments and expenses	5	198	196
Recruitment, training and welfare		130	113
		4,357	3,583
Premises costs			
Rent, rates, service charges and utilities		778	406
Depreciation and maintenance		237	111
		1,015	517
Investment costs			
Securities management and custodian services		3,537	3,711
Securities management rebates	6	(1,238)	(1,130)
Property management		1,237	1,169
Legal costs - special investigation		6	22
- property management		162	120
- securities management		26	45
Property valuation		112	116
Investment performance measurement		54	55
Consultancy		8	6
Costs met by third parties	7	(25)	(83)
		3,879	4,031
Other costs			-
Computer and information services costs	8	1,250	936
Professional fees	9	613	509
Travel and car costs		260	179
Telephones and postage		98	81
Office equipment		230	92
Institution liaison		58	99
Printing and stationery		125	88
IMRO membership		82	71
Auditors' remuneration	10	34	33
Insurances		39	37
Loss/(profit) on disposal of fixed assets		92	(19)
Sundry expenditure		18	14
Costs met by third parties	7	(377)	(499)
		2,522	1,621
Total operating costs - recoverable from USS	11	11,773	9,752

# **BALANCE SHEET** as at 31 March 1996

# Assets

Fixed assets Tangible fixed assets

Current assets Debtors Cash at bank and in hand

# Total assets

# Liabilities

Creditors - amounts falling due within one year

# Total liabilities

The financial statements on pages 58 to 66 were approved by the board of directors on 25 July 1996 and were signed on its behalf by:

Graeme J Davies Director

E B O Sherlock Director

	Note	1996 £000	1995 £000
	12	2,982	835
	13	600 692 1,292	441 767 1,208
		4,274	2,043
ear	14	4,274	2,043
		4,274	2,043

### CASH FLOW STATEMENT for the year ended 31 March 1996

	Note	1996 £000	1995 £000
Operating activities			
Cash received from USS		13,585	10,813
Operating costs paid	15	(10,667)	(10,110)
Net cash inflow from operating activities		2,918	703
Investing activities			
Purchase of tangible fixed assets		(3,037)	(413)
Sale of tangible fixed assets		44	38
Net cash outflow from investing activities		(2,993)	(375)
(Decrease)/increase in cash		(75)	328

# NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS for the year ended 31 March 1996

1 The company, which is limited by guarantee and does not have a share capital, has no beneficial sheet, since it holds these as the trustee of USS.

#### 2 Format of accounts

A profit and loss account is not presented with these accounts as such a statement is inappropriate to the operations of the company. The costs incurred and the method by which they are recovered are therefore set out in the statement of operating costs.

A separate statement of total recognised gains and losses has not been presented as all gains and losses are included in the statement of operating costs.

A separate note of historical cost profits and losses is not required as the accounts are prepared under the historic cost convention.

### 3 Accounting policies

#### Accounting convention

The accounts are prepared under the historic cost convention and on the accruals basis and comply with applicable accounting standards in the United Kingdom which have been consistently applied.

#### Depreciation of fixed assets

Depreciation is calculated so as to write off the cost of fixed assets on a straight line basis over the expected economic lives of the assets concerned. The principal annual rates used for this purpose are:

	%
Office equipment	15
Alterations to rented premises	20
Computer equipment	20 and 33
Motor cars	25
Computer software	33%

#### Pensions

USS Ltd participates in the Universities Superannuation Scheme, a defined benefit scheme which is externally funded and contracted out of the State Earnings Related Pension Scheme. The fund is valued every three years by a professionally qualified independent actuary using the projected unit method, the rates of contribution payable being determined by the trustee company on the advice of the actuary. In the intervening years the actuary reviews the progress of the scheme. Pension costs are assessed in accordance with the advice of the actuary, based on the latest actuarial valuation of the scheme, and are accounted for on the basis of charging the cost of providing pensions over the period during which the company benefits from the employees' services.

UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME

interest in the investments and other assets held in its name but not included in its balance

# 3%

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS LTD ACCOUNTS

## 4 Employees' emoluments

	1996	1995
The average weekly number of persons employed by the		
company during the year (excluding directors) was	118	104
Staff costs for the above persons were:	£000	£000
Wages and salaries	3,000	2,683
Social security costs (national insurance contributions)	254	213
Pension costs (superannuation contributions)	413	378
Restructuring costs	362	
	4,029	3,274

The increase in the number of persons employed by the company during the year was due primarily to the recruitment of a number of staff on a temporary basis in order to scan all the members' paper files into computer images.

The restructuring costs represent the cost of payments under the voluntary early retirement scheme introduced during the year.

1995

1996

### 5 Directors' emoluments and expenses

	£000	£000
Fees	168	167
Employer's costs - national insurance contributions	17	16
- VAT	5	5
Expenses	8	8
	198	196

Directors are remunerated on a basis which is approved by the joint negotiating committee and is in accordance with the contribution which they make to the work of USS Ltd and their legal responsibilities.

No pension contributions are made on behalf of directors.	1996 <b>£</b> ,000	1995 <b>£</b> ,000
The emoluments of the chairman, who was also the highest	~	$\sim$
paid director, amounted to:	28	27
The number of other directors whose annual emoluments fell into the for	ollowing bar	nds was:

	1996	1995
Nil - £5,000	1	2
$\pounds 5,001 - \pounds 10,000$	4	2
£10,001 - £15,000	4	5
$\pounds 15,001 - \pounds 20,000$	1	1
$\pounds 20,001 - \pounds 25,000$	2	2

# 6 Securities management rebates

Management fees and other charges incurred by securities managers on investment in their own in-house funds are rebated from the fees chargeable to USS Ltd. These costs are included within the book cost of the investments held by USS.

#### 7 Costs met by third parties

Costs met by third parties represent the amount of the commission paid by USS to certain stockbrokers which is directed by the stockbrokers to the purchase of equipment and services for USS Ltd for investment management purposes.

# 8 Computer and information services costs

Investment information services Investment accounting services Computer running costs Software depreciation Hardware depreciation Computer bureau fees

# 9 Professional fees

# Legal

Actuarial Information technology consultancy Relocation of Liverpool Office Committee members (other than directors) Taxation Salary surveys Public relations Other

1996 £000	1995 £000
436	390
193	172
189	149
249	127
148	82
35	16
1,250	936
1996 £000	1995 £000
245	225
136	116
124	37
-	45
30	30
34	
-	21
<b>2</b> 0	-
24	35
613	509

# UNIVERSITIES SUPERANNUATION SCHEME USS LTD ACCOUNTS

10 Auditors' remuneration	1996 £000	1995 £000
USS	30	29
USS Ltd	4	4
	34	33

Remuneration of the company's auditors for provision of services other than for the audit of USS and USS Ltd was  $\pounds$ ,34,000 for taxation advice (1995:  $\pounds$ ,2,500 for investment advice).

#### 11 Total operating costs - recoverable from USS

1996 £000	1995 £000
6,016	5,651
5,757	4,101
11,773	9,752
	<b>£000</b> 6,016 <u>5,757</u>

Investment management costs are those costs which are directly attributable to investment activities and include relevant personnel, premises and other costs.

Included in operating costs is a charge for depreciation of £754,000 (1995: £370,000).

#### 12 Tangible fixed assets

	Alterations to rented	Computer equipment	Computer software	Office equipment	Motor cars	T- 4-1
	premises £000	£000	£000	£000	£000	Total £000
Cost						
At 1 April 1995	602	552	897	274	233	2,558
Additions	1,237	343	442	867	148	3,037
Disposals	(264)	(20)	(26)	(92)	(99)	(501)
At 31 March 1996	1,575	875	1,313	1,049	282	5,094
Accumulated						
Depreciation						
At 1 April 1995	338	363	729	202	91	1,723
Charge for year	212	148	249	76	69	754
Disposals	(222)		(2)	(80)	(61)	(365)
At 31 March 1996	328	511	976	198	99	2,112
Net Book Value						
31 March 1996	_1,247	364	337	851	183	2,982
Net Book Value						
31 March 1995	264	189	168	72	142	835
At 31 March 1996 Net Book Value 31 March 1996 Net Book Value	328	364	976 <u>337</u>	198       851		2,112 2,982

# USS LTD ACCOUNTS

# 13 Debtors

Prepayments and accrued income Other debtors

# 14 Creditors - amounts falling due within one ye

Due to USS Accrued expenditure Taxation and social security Other creditors

# 15 Reconciliation of operating costs paid

Operating costs - recoverable from USS Depreciation (Loss)/Profit on sale of tangible fixed assets Increase in debtors (excluding USS) (Increase)/decrease in creditors (excluding US Operating costs paid

# 16 Value added tax

USS Ltd is registered for value added tax activities and recovers a proportion of the input tax on administrative expenditure directly attributable to the scheme's investment activities.

# 17 Pension costs

USS Ltd participates in the Universities Superannuation Scheme, a pension scheme which provides benefits based on final pensionable salary for employees of all the 'old' UK universities and some other employers. The assets of the scheme are held in a separate fund administered by the company.

The total pension cost for the company was £413,000 (1995 : £378,000). The pension cost is assessed using the projected unit method.

The latest actuarial valuation of the scheme was at 31 March 1993. The assumptions which have the most significant effect on the results of the valuation are those relating to the rate of return on investments and the rates of increase in salary and pensions. It was assumed that the investment return would be 81/2% per annum, that salary scale increases would be 61/2% per annum and that pensions would increase by 5% per annum.

	1996 £000	1995 £000
	507	403
	93	38
	600	441
ear		
	1996 £000	1995 £000
	2,110	298
	934	1,320
	102	90
	1,128	335
	4,274	2,043
	. <del>The deed</del>	
	1996 £000	1995 £000
	11,773	9,752
	(754)	(370)
	(92)	19
	159	43
SS)	(419)	666
	10,667	10,110
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

At the date of the last actuarial valuation, which was carried out using the projected unit method, the market value of the assets of the scheme was  $\pounds$ 7,838 million and the actuarial value of the assets was sufficient to cover 97% of the benefits which had accrued to members after allowing for expected future increases in earnings. The level of contributions paid by the employers takes into account this actuarial deficiency.

18 Capital commitments	1996 £000	1995 £000
Authorised but not contracted	1 <del></del> 2	<del>7</del> 8
Authorised and contracted but not provided for		

. . . .

We have audited the financial statements on pages 58 to 66.

#### Respective responsibilities of directors and auditors

As described on page 57 the company's directors are responsible for the preparation of financial statements. It is our responsibility to form an independent opinion, based on our audit, on those statements and to report our opinion to you.

#### Basis of opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board. An audit includes examination, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. It also includes an assessment of the significant estimates and judgements made by the directors in the preparation of the financial statements, and of whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the company's circumstances, consistently applied and adequately disclosed.

We planned and performed our audit so as to obtain all the information and explanations which we considered necessary in order to provide us with sufficient evidence to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or other irregularity or error. In forming our opinion we also evaluated the overall adequacy of the presentation of information in the financial statements.

#### Opinion

In our opinion the financial statements give a true and fair view of the state of the company's affairs as at 31 March 1996 and of its results and cash flow for the year then ended and have been properly prepared in accordance with the Companies Act 1985.

Coopers & Lybrand Chartered Accountants and Registered Auditors

**REPORT OF THE AUDITORS** to the members of Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited

Liverpool 29 July 1996



Sir Graeme Davies Chairman



Lord Mark Fitzalan Howard OBE Chairman Investment Sub-Committee

Sir Kenneth Berrill

Chairman

Joint Negotiating

Committee



David Anderson-Evans Chairman Advisory Committee





**Robbie Heywood** Chief Pensions Manager









Purposes Committee







Kenneth Dibben Chairman Audit Committee



David Chynoweth Chief Executive



Len Collinson Chairman Salaries Sub-Committee



Peter Moon Chief Investment Officer



John P Williams Company Secretary



Robert Walden Surveyor