USS briefing: Technical Provisions Consultation – Additional Future Projections (Appendix 2)

This briefing note provides additional stochastic analysis relating to the likelihood of required Total Contribution Rates in the future. This represents the full analysis underlying the high-level information already contained in the Technical Provisions (TP) Consultation document and the Supporting Information pack.

This additional analysis has been requested by UUK, specifically relating to the likelihood of the scheme requiring a contribution rate of at least **25.2%** in 3 and 6 years' time, having paid a total contribution rate of **20.6%** from 31 March 2023.

As per the equivalent analysis in the TP Consultation Document, the results are shown with and without retention of the 31 March 2023 TP surplus.

This is a technical document by design and has been developed for a specific purpose for UUK. The original analysis upon which this work is based can be found in Appendix 2 of the TP Consultation document (here), where a glossary of commonly used terms can also be found. The analytical methodology and assumptions are the same as per the original analysis and are outlined in detail in section 3 of the Supporting Information Pack (here).

As noted in the main TP Consultation document, the purpose of these projections is to start to explore how stability of the required contribution rate may vary in future, under different scenarios. They are based on various simplifications and should, therefore, be viewed as indicative rather than being part of the development of the proposed contribution rate at this valuation.

As in the TP Consultation document, to inform the impact of investment strategy on the projections, we show results based on the Valuation Investment Strategy (VIS) and two simple and illustrative alternative investment strategies:

Illustrative Investment Strategies ¹		More growth, less hedging	Current VIS	Less growth, more hedging
	Growth assets exposure	70%	60%	50%
Asset allocation ²	Credit assets exposure	25%	25%	25%
	Liability hedge ratios (self-sufficiency basis)	30%	40%	50%

Notes

Our investment adviser originally modelled the following (as contained in the TP Consultation Document and Supporting Information):

• The probability that the required total contribution rate exceeds 20.6% or 26% at a 2026 and 2029 valuation, assuming each respective total contribution rate is paid from 31 March 2023

This analysis is supplemented in this document with the following:

The probability that the required total contribution rate exceeds 25.2% at a 2026 and 2029

¹ See Supporting Information for the return metrics associated with these portfolios.

² These percentage allocations do not add up to 100% as we show liability matching assets in terms of their hedge ratio.

valuation, assuming a 20.6% total contribution rate is paid from 31 March 2023

The two surplus cases under investigation (that is, 'Retains surplus' and 'Does not retain surplus') refer to the provisional Technical Provisions surplus at the 31 March 2023 valuation date.

As noted in the TP Consultation document, the modelling applies an asymmetrical approach to the treatment of prevailing surpluses built up from the 2023 valuation date.

Specifically, any scenarios in which a surplus is revealed at the 2026 or 2029 valuation, the surplus is assumed to be retained within the scheme (that is, not used to manage contribution rates, for example). However, the required contribution rate in scenarios in which a deficit emerges will include an element of deficit recovery contributions.

This means that the prevailing **full** required future service rate is recorded in **all** scenarios (irrespective of whether it could potentially be mitigated by any surplus). In addition, a deficit recovery contribution is **also** recorded in any scenario in which a deficit emerges.

More generally, these projections involve assumptions about the future and do not account for potential actions which may be taken depending on the scenario.

Notwithstanding the simplifications highlighted here and in the main document, the modelling output provides an indication as to the relative outcomes under different courses of action. The JNC stability working group is actively considering a range of future economic scenarios in exploring solutions that target greater stability for benefits and contributions.

The results of the modelling are given in the tables below.

At Year 3 (2026):

Investment Strategy	Probability of exceeding a required contribution rate of 20.6% in 2026, having paid 20.6% from 31/03/231		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Probability of exceeding a required contribution rate of 26% in 2026, having paid 26% from 31/03/231	
	Retains surplus	Does not retain surplus	Retains surplus	Does not retain surplus	Retains surplus	Does not retain surplus
More growth, less hedging	58%	65%	27%	40%	23%	33%
Current VIS	57%	65%	26%	39%	21%	33%
Less growth, more hedging	57%	65%	24%	38%	18%	31%

Notes

1 For simplicity of modelling, it is assumed that the contribution rate in each of these scenarios comes into force from the valuation date.

At Year 6 (2029):

Investment Strategy	Probability of exceeding a required contribution rate of 20.6% in 2029, having paid 20.6% from 31/03/231		Probability of exceeding a required contribution rate of 25.2% in 2029, having paid 20.6% from 31/03/231		required contribution rate of 26% in 2029, having paid	
	Retains surplus	Does not retain surplus	Retains surplus	Does not retain surplus	Retains surplus	Does not retain surplus
More growth, less hedging	53%	59%	33%	42%	27%	36%
Current VIS	53%	60%	32%	42%	26%	35%
Less growth, more hedging	52%	61%	30%	42%	24%	34%

Notes

1 For simplicity of modelling, it is assumed that the contribution rate in each of these scenarios comes into force from the valuation date.

Disclaimer:

This document is issued by Universities Superannuation Scheme Limited (the "Trustee) in its capacity as the sole corporate trustee of the Universities Superannuation Scheme. The Trustee is making this document available for information purposes only.

This document has been prepared by USS Investment Management Limited ("USSIM") solely for the Trustee.

USSIM is acting exclusively for the Trustee and is not advising any other person in relation to the matters referred to in this document. USSIM will not be responsible to anyone other than the Trustee for affording advice in relation to any matters referred to in this document. This document was prepared with the Trustee's, and no one else's, interests in mind and is not a substitute for any enquiries any other person should make in respect of the matters referred to in this document. If any person, other than the Trustee, relies on this document, such person does so entirely at such person's own risk. For the avoidance of doubt, USSIM makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, to anyone other than the Trustee in relation to the matters referred to in this document including its accuracy, completeness or verification or in relation to any other statement made or purported to be made by it or on its behalf in relation to the matters referred to in this document.

USSIM's client is the Trustee and accordingly USSIM does not accept liability to any person other than the Trustee, whether in tort or contract or otherwise, in respect of any information provided in or matters referred to in this document. Release of this document to any person other than the Trustee shall not, and does not purport to, give rise to any client relationship between USSIM and such person.

The terms and provisions of this disclaimer shall not, and do not purport to, exclude or restrict any liability to the extent that it may not be so excluded or restricted by law or professional rules.